

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,298	03/09/2004	Masato Mitsuhashi	HITACH.055CP2	9108
20995 KNOBBE MA	7590 03/18/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAM	EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			LU, FRANK WEI MIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

1. Amendments to the specification:

2. Abstract:

2

A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.
 B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.
 C. Other \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/796,298	MITSUHASHI, MASATO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
FRANK W. LU	1634	

The amendment document filed on <u>17 December 2007</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:

	A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72 B. Other	<u>.</u>
	Amendments to the drawings:     A. The drawings are not properly identified in the to "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121     B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing corshowing amended figures, without markings, in C. Other	(d). rection has been eliminated. Replacement drawings
		all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) or status identifier, and as such, the individual status itus of every claim must be indicated after its claim tifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), fithdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).
	5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed i	n accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
or furthe	er explanation of the amendment format required by 37 C	FR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.
IME PE	RIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
	cant is given no new time period if the non-compliant an	andwent is an after final awandwent or an awandwer
filed a	can't is given no new time period in the non-compliant an after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-c e corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	
corre (inclu amer Quay	cant is given <b>one month</b> , or thirty (30) days, whichever is ction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the foliow ding a submission for a request for continued examinatio doment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.1 (*e action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	ving: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment n (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental 03(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a
	ttensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) onendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle	
<u>Fa</u>	illure to timely respond to this notice will result in:  Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant an filed in response to a Quayle action; or  Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amen	
	amendment. /Frank Lu/, Primary Examiner , 1634	571-272-0746
	Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephone No.
Patent a	nd Trademark Office	Part of Paper No. 2/2008

<sup>--</sup> The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Continuation of 4(e) Other: Note that claims 74, 75, 77, 87, 88 and 91-93 can not be considered as original filed claims while claims 89 and 90 can not be considered as original filed withdrawn claims. Furthermore, in claim 78, applicant changed "73" into "0" without underlining "0".